The 8th September, 1971

No. 9752-4Lsh-71/29809.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Electronics Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 40 of 1969

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ELECTRONICS LTD., FARIDABAD

Present:-

Shri Darshan Singh, for the workmen. Shri Joginder Singh, Senior Administrative Officer and Shri Mulak Raj. Personnel Officer for the man agement.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred for adjudication the following dispute existing between the management of M/s Electronics Ltd., Faridabad and their workmen,—vide Order No. 3—8, dated 30th August, 1969.

Whether the workmen are entitled for bonus for the financial year 1968? If so; with what details and at what rate. ?

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. The management has agreed to pay 4% bonus on the annual earnings for the year 1970 in addition to 3% exgratia payment to such of the employees who are still in service. Bonus for the year 1968 had already been declared at 4% of the annual earnings and the workers have willingly accepted the same. In view of this settlement, the workers have withdrawn the present claim for bonus for the year 1968 and have further agreed not to raise any dispute for bonus for the year 1970.

Statements of the parties have been recorded. A 'NoDispute' award is given in terms of the above settlement by which the parties shall be bound. In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.

O. P SHARMA, Presiding Officer,

Dated the 3rd September, 1971.

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 963, dated the 3rd September, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA, Presiding Officer,

Dated the 3rd September, 1971.

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9753-4Lab-71/29713.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Electronics Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD Reference No. 56 of 1971 hetween

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ELECTRONICS LTD., FARIDABAD

Present:

Shri Darshan Singh, for the workmen.

Shri Joginder Singh, Senior Administrative Officer and Shri Mulak Raj, Personnel Officer for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred for adjudication the following dispute existing between the management of M/s Electronics Ltd., Faridabad and their employees,—vide order No. ID/FD/221-I/16015-19, dated 27th May, 1971:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus at a higher rate than 4% under the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 for the year 1969? If so, with what details and from which date?

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. The management has agreed to pay 4% bonus on the annual earnings for the year 1970 in addition to 3% ex gratia payment to such of the employees who are still in service Bonus for the year 1969 had already been declared at 4% of the annual earnings and the workers have willingly accepted the same. In view of this settlement, the workers have withdrawn the present claim for bonus for the year 1969 and have further agreed not to raise any demand for bonus for the year 1970.

Statements of the parties have been recorded. A 'No-Dispute' award is given in terms of the above settement by which the parties shall be bound. In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Dated the 3rd September, 1971.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 964, dated 3rd September, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA.

Dated the 3rd September, 1971.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9754-4Lab-71/29817.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Bansal Paper Mills, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 63 of 1971

hetween

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BANSAL PAPER MILLS, BAHADURGARH

Present .-

Shri Rajinder Singh Dahyia, for the workmen.

Shri M. L. Beriwala, for the management.

AWARD

The State Government,—vide Order No. ID/RK-123-B-71/17892-96, dated 9th June, 1971, referred fot adjudication the following disputes between the management of M/s Bansal Paper Mills, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak) and their employees under clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

- (1) Whether the workmen should be paid bonus for the year 1968-69 at higher rate than 4% already paid to them? If so, with what details?
- (2) Whether the workmen of the factory should be paid bonus for the year 1969-70? If so, with what details?

An amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties. The management has paid bonus to the workers at 4% of their annual earning fors the years 1968-69 and 1969-70 which they have willingly accepted. There is now no dispute left between the parties. Their statements have been recorded.

In view of the above settlement, a 'No-Dispute' award is given. In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Dated the 3rd September, 1971.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 968, dated the 3rd September, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947.

O. P. SHARMA.

The 3rd September, 1971.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana. Faridabad.

> B. L. AHUJA, Commissioner and Secy.

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

CORRIGENDUM

The 8th September, 1971

No. 8978-5 Lab-71/28385.—In the Haryana Government Labour Department Order No. 4799-5 Lab-I/71, dated 6th July, 1971 in Para No. 1 for the words "Subordinate Judge. Rohtak" may be read as "Senior-most Subordinate Judge, Rohtak".

B. L. AHUJA,

Commissioner and Secv.

IRRIGATION AND POWER DEPARTMENTS

The 16th August, 1971

No. 7542/E/103/23(71).—The following officers of the P.W.D., Irrigation Branch, Haryana have passed the Departmental Revenue Examination as prescribed in para 1.112 of the P.W.D. Code held on 24th and 25th May, 1971:—

Serial No.	Name and designation		Circle to which attached
	Sarvshri—	_	
1	Shamsher Singh, Temporary Engineer		Hissar Bhakra Canal
2	M. L. Puniani, Assistant Design Engineer	• •	Beas Design Organisation. Nangal
3	Ram Saran, Assistant Design Engineer		Ditto
4	Jagdish Lal Ghambir, Temporary Engineer	• •	Beas Sutlej Link, Construction Circle No. III
5	A. K. Aggarwal, Sub-Divisional Officer	• •	Beas Sutlej Link, Communication Circle
6	Nirmal Singh, Assistant Design Engineer	••	Beas Sutlej Link, Directorate of Plant Design
7	Atma Ram Aggarwal, Temporary Engineer. (Cleared compartment paper 'C')	••	Beas Sutlej Link, Communica- tion Circle

CORRIGENDA

In the HARYANA GOVERNMENT GAZETTE (Extraordinary), dated September 8, 1971. Page 1180. against S. No. 13, in the first line, after figure '9', please read figure '11', and on Page 1182, under col. Rectangle No. 56, second line, please read $\frac{(23)}{2}$ 24, instead of $\frac{(23)}{(2)}$ 24, also under the same Col. Rectangle No. 32, please read the fourth figure as $\frac{(6')}{2}$ instead of $\frac{(8')}{2}$ and also on page 1183, against Rectangle No. 27, after figure '16' please read figure '17' instead of figures '1.7', also on the same page, please read serial No. 9, against Rectangle No. 6, instead of Rectangle No. 38.

P. P. CAPRIHAN, Commissioner and Secretary.